The global COVID-19 pandemic began in December 2019 and spread rapidly around the world. Worldwide, more than 230 million people fell ill and 4.75 million cases were fatal. In addition to the threat to health, the pandemic resulted in social problems, an economic crisis and the transition of an ordinary life to a “new reality”. Mathematical modeling is an effective tool for controlling the epidemic process of COVID-19 in specified territories.

Modeling makes it possible to predict the future dynamics of the epidemic process and to identify the factors that affect the increase in incidence in the greatest way. The simulation results enable public health professionals to take effective evidence-based responses to contain the epidemic. The study aims to develop machine learning and compartment models of COVID-19 epidemic process and to investigate experimental results of simulation. The object of research is COVID-19 epidemic process and its dynamics in territory of Ukraine. The research subjects are methods and models of epidemic process simulation, which include machine learning methods and compartment models. To achieve this aim of the research, we have used machine learning forecasting methods and have built COVID-19 epidemic process linear regression model and COVID-19 epidemic process compartment model.

Because of experiments with the developed models, the predictive dynamics of the epidemic process of COVID-19 for 30 days were obtained for confirmed cases, recovered and death. For ‘Confirmed’, ‘Recovered’ and ‘Death’ cases mean errors have almost 1.15, 0.037 and 1.39 percent deviant, respectively, with a linear regression model. For ‘Confirmed’, ‘Recovered’ and ‘Death’ cases mean errors have almost 3.29, 1.08, and 0.71 percent deviant, respectively, for the SIR model. Conclusions. At this stage in the development of the epidemic process of COVID-19, it is more expedient to use a linear model to predict the incidence rate, which has shown higher accuracy and efficiency, the reason for that lies on the fact that the used linear regression model for this research was implemented on merely 30 days (from fifteen days before 2nd of March) and not the whole dataset of COVID-19. Also, it is expected that if we try to forecast in longer time ranges, the linear regression model will lose precision. Alternatively, since SIR model is more comprised in including more factors, the model is expected to perform better in fore-casting longer time ranges.
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Introduction

The new coronavirus, first identified at the end of 2019, spread rapidly around the world, and on April 4, 2021, the number of infected was 131,717,907 and the number of deaths was 2,863,227. The ease of transmission of the virus by aerosol from person to person contributed to the high rate of spread of the pathogen in different countries and created difficulties in the fight against infection. With the aerosol mechanism of infection, the most effective measure to prevent the circulation of the virus is to create a high level of herd immunity. A person acquires immunity either naturally – as a result of an illness, or artificially – as a result of vaccination. In the world, vaccination of the population began in mid-December 2020, starting with vaccinations for risk groups, primarily medical workers. In Ukraine, vaccination began on February 24, 2021, and as of April 3, 2021, 290,566 people were vaccinated in the country, which is not enough to limit the circulation of the virus. The dynamics of the epidemic process and its patterns differ from country to country. Vaccination rates and immunization coverage also differ. For a correct understanding of the development of the epidemic in the specific socio-economic conditions of the country and forecasting the epidemic situation to make optimal management decisions to mitigate the consequences of the epidemic (outbreak), it is necessary to develop a mathematical apparatus that can most accurately predict the dynamics of morbidity in a specific period in a specific territory.

Two aspects typically determine a model fitting to a data set [1]. Model complexity is obtained by the variables number and parameters are the first aspect. Generally, more complicated models might give premier fits to data. Nevertheless, less complicated models appear
more lucid and provide more valuable and influential insights in illustrating the trends. Interchanging between bias and variance plays an important role in choosing the complexity optimal level [2]. Relevance between the parameters is the second aspect. It is substantial to understand that the basis of such relativity does not need to be completely precise for the model to be feasible. Structural stability plays an important role here, which refers to the possibility of making small changes in the model assumptions that might cause substantial forecasting changes [3].

For this research, we have chosen two models. Firstly, Linear Regression is handy to execute and utilize for analyzing the COVID-19 trend. Based on limited factors, the results executed by Linear Regression can be accountable for investigating the trend. Secondly, Susceptible Infective Recovered or SIR includes more parameters and complicated calculations yet easy to execute and operate on the desired dataset and also analyzes the epidemic main drivers.

In the first part, each model will be explained. In the next part, models will be run on the COVID-19 dataset and the result of both implemented models will be represented and investigated for a limited time range. The final part will include the comparison among both model performances in forecasting the trend of COVID-19 and actual data which is the purpose of this research. All the investigation was done on Ukraine recorded data provided by the Center of Public Health of Ministry of Health of Ukraine.

The paper aims to develop machine learning and compartment models of the COVID-19 epidemic process and to investigate the experimental results of the simulation. The object of research is the COVID-19 epidemic process and its dynamics in the territory of Ukraine. The subjects of research are methods and models of epidemic process simulation, which include machine learning methods and compartment models.

To achieve the aim of the research following tasks have been formulated:

2. Compartment model of the COVID-19 epidemic process should be developed.
3. Experimental study of the Linear regression model of the COVID-19 epidemic process should be provided.
4. Experimental study of SIR model of COVID-19 epidemic process should be provided.
5. Results obtained during the experimental studies should be analyzed and compared.

The respective contribution of this study is three-fold. Firstly, the development of models based on regression methods will allow estimating the accuracy of simple machine learning methods applied to epidemic process simulation. Secondly, the development of a compartment model will allow to estimation application of classical approaches to novel coronavirus disease simulation. And, finally, a comparison study of two different approaches to novel emergence disease epidemic process simulation will contribute to the normative and empirical evaluation of given models’ application advisability to develop effective evidence-based anti-epidemic and control measures.

In this paper, section 1, namely materials and methods, provides a brief overview of linear regression, multiple linear regression and compartment approach, and the development of epidemic process models based on proposed methods. Section 2 constitutes the results and findings based on linear regression and SIR compartment models’ of the COVID-19 epidemic process in Ukraine. Comparison results of given models’ performance are described in Section 2.3. Conclusions describe outcomes of the proposed methodology.

1. Materials and Methods

1.1. Linear Regression

Linear regression is considered a statistical test that is applicable to a set of data and quantifies and defines the relevance among the dependent and independent variables. Linear regression is impressively mighty in analyzing data and gives the researcher the allowance to control the confounders’ effects in realizing the relevance among two variables [4].

In clinical research, the researcher intends to figure out the correlation among two or more independent variables as inputs and therefore to find out a dependent variable as an output. Thus, this might be comprehended as how the independent variables are considered for the forecasting of the disease occurrence chance [5]. The regression model forecasts a dependent variable value regarding at least one independent variable value and represents the chance of understanding the “independent variables (risk variables) – dependent variables (e.g., disease)” relationship and describes it mathematically [6].

The linear regression model usage is considered for some main reasons which are being descriptive which support analyzing the association strength among the dependent variable as the output and the inputs as independent variables and adjustment which optimizes covariates effects or the confounders [7]. Also, it supports estimating the major independent factors that influence the dependent variable and analyzes the influence on the dependent variable caused by changing the independent variable per one unit. Finally forecasting
the new cases is another feature of the linear regression model [8].

The main point to consider is linear regression analysis forecast does not imply causation. Accordingly, a researcher is not able to conclude that an independent variable causes a dependent variable based on given cross-sectional survey data. As an example, let’s assume that a person’s income and conspicuous consumption are relevant [9]. Thus, to forecast the person’s income level within a margin of error, the person’s purchasing behavior information can be utilized. Nonetheless, it would not be correct to conclude that income is caused by. It is important to indicate that causation assessment requires a proper design with cause and influence temporally isolation and spuriousness prevention [10]. As a result, linear regression with the mentioned design can be utilized to assess causal hypotheses.

To achieve validated results of linear regression usage, there are assumptions that the basic data needs to meet [11]. These assumptions are considered the same for different types of regression such as simple linear regression, multiple regression, and hierarchical regression.

These assumptions are as follows:
1. The researcher sets the independent variable values x.
2. Measuring the independent variable x must be done with no experimental error.
3. For each independent variable x value, a normally distributed subset of variables y is there up and down the Y-axis and the subset of variables y differences are uniformly distributed.
4. The subsets of variables y mean values perches on a straight line, which implies the assumption of the existence of a linear correlation among the dependent and independent variables.
5. All the y values are dependent on x and independent individuals [8].

The Determination Coefficient is the total variation part in the dependent variable that is described by independent variable variation. When R2 is bigger than one, that means there is a perfect linear relevance among x and y, or in other words, the variation in y is explained by variation in x precisely. When 0 < R2 < 1, that means there is a less precise linear relevance among x and y, thus the y variation is explained by x variation incompletely [12].

Simple linear regression is a model including a single regressor x that has relativity with a response y which is a straight line.

The R2 is a best-fit straight-line slope, which perches as close as possible to the data points collection on an x-y scatter plot, where the x-axis indicates independent variable values and the y-axis indicates dependent variable values. The best-fit line with a non-zero y-intercept can be utilized to forecast the dependent variable values in connection with the slope. The simple linear regression formula is:

$$\hat{y} = B_0 + B_1x + \epsilon,$$  (1)

where $\hat{y}$ is forecasted value;

$B_0$ is the slope;

$B_1$ is the value of x and they are called regression coefficients;

$\epsilon$ is an error term that reconciles variances among actual values and forecasted values and corresponds to $y - \hat{y}$ [13].

Simplicity and usefulness interpretation are characteristics of these coefficients. The change in the distribution mean of y occurred by a unit x change is defined by slope $B_1$. For $x = 0$ in the data range on x, the intercept $B_0$ is the distribution mean of the response y. For data range on x without including zero, $B_0$ does not have practical interpretation [14].

Let’s assume that we have a set of n samples of paired observations $(x_i, y_i)$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n). These observations are considered to fit the simple linear regression model; thus, we have the equation as follows:

$$y_i = B_0 + B_1x_i + \epsilon_i, (i = 1, 2, ..., n).$$  (2)

The least squares basically estimate $B_0$ and $B_1$ parameters by minimizing the summation of the squares of the variance among the observations and the scatter diagram line. This might be observed from different perspectives. Direct regression is defined as when the vertical difference among the observations and the scatter diagram line is considered, and its squares summation is minimized to obtain $B_0$ and $B_1$ estimation. The ordinary least square's estimation is another name for this method [15].

The summation of the squares is minimized in direct regression method.

$$S(B_0, B_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - B_0 - B_1x_i)^2,$$  (3)

for $B_0$ and $B_1$ respectively. $B_0$ and $B_1$ solutions are calculable through the formula as follows:

$$\delta S(B_0, B_1) / \delta B_0 = 0,$$  (4)

$$\delta S(B_0, B_1) / \delta B_1 = 0.$$  (5)

Direct regression estimators or ordinary least squares (OLS) estimators of $B_0$ and $B_1$ are the names given to solutions of these two equations.

Alternatively, the reverse regression method is defined as when the summation of the squares of the variance among the observations and the scatter diagram
line in the horizontal direction can be minimized to obtain $B_0$ and $B_1$ estimation [16].

The reverse regression equation is as follows:

$$x_i = B'_0 + B'_1 y_i + \delta_i, (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n),$$

where $\delta_i$ are the random error associated components.

The reverse regression estimates $\hat{B}_{OR}$ of $B'_0$ and $\hat{B}_{IR}$ of $B'_1$ which are reached by interchanging the $x$ and $y$ in the $B_0$ and $B_1$ direct regression estimators. The estimates formulas are as follows:

$$\hat{B}_{OR} = \bar{y} - \hat{B}_{IR} \bar{x},$$

$$\hat{B}_{IR} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}}$$

for $B_0$ and $B_1$ respectively. The residual squares summation in this case is

$$SS^*_{res} = S_{xx} - S_{xy}^2 / S_{yy},$$

where $b_1$ is the slope parameter direct regression estimator and the correlation coefficient among $x$ and $y$ is $r_{xy}$.

Subsequently, if $r_{xy}^2$ goes close to 1, the two regression lines will get closer to one another. Reverse regression method is feasible to be utilized in solving the calibration problem [17].

### 1.2. Multiple Linear Regression

Practically, a meaningful model includes more than one variable. Simultaneously, one regression principle is to use as few variables as possible and merely comprising the most important explanatory variables [18].

The general multiple linear regression model formula with $K$ explanatory variables is as follows:

$$y = B_1 x_1 + B_2 x_2 + \ldots + B_k x_k + \varepsilon,$$

where there are $n$ observations of the outcome $y$, and for each one there are the corresponding explanatory variables values $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$. The $x_0$ indicates the variable value $x_i$ corresponding to the $j$-th observation.

The estimates basically minimize the squared residuals summation

$$\sum_{i=1} S_i^2,$$

where

$$\sum_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i,$$

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{B}_0 + \hat{B}_1 x_{i1} + \ldots + \hat{B}_k x_{ik}.$$  

The basic least squares assumptions are similar to simple regression except it has one more assumption which prohibits the redundancy possibility among the explanatory variables [19]. For instance, it’s not possible to have two variables that contain different units but totally the same information.

Multicollinearity is defined as the redundancy possibility among the explanatory variables. In its presence, it degrades the precision of the regression model. Also, one important point is to know that the estimated slopes $B_i$ depend on which including variables. Adding and deleting variables changes the other $B_i$ [20].

### 1.3. SIR Model

The Susceptible Infected Recovered or SIR model was developed in the twentieth century by Ronald Ross, William Hamer, and others. This model includes a three-coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations system. Kermack and McKendrinck’s theoretical papers from 1927 to 1933 have had an impressive impact in modeling infectious diseases mathematically [21]. Increasingly utilizing mathematical models since then has led to clarifying several diseases transmission. Studying SIR models is crucial in enhancing the fundamental knowledge of spreading out infectious diseases [22]. Although these models might seem simple, they evaluate the control program’s potential impact in decreasing mortality and morbidity. In the past few years, and enhancement in mathematical models’ representation trend has been seen which shows the interdisciplinary importance. The SIR model is a tool which is at first gives a comprehensive understanding of what occurs rapidly; then, based on enhanced knowledge, enriching the model by adding more details in the formulation is possible [23].

The SIR model is a mathematical representation of outbreaking an infection throughout a population over time in a simpler way. Population division into pieces of compartments Forms epidemic models. The SIR model includes three main compartments as follows:

- Susceptible (S): Individuals who are susceptible to infection; possibly including the ones who lose their immunity or immune once. Also, more commonly, in case of newborn infants whose mother has not passed on any immunity because she has never been infected;
- Infected (I): The parasite level is impressively large and potentially there is the chance of infection transition to other individuals;
- Recovered or Resistant (R): All individuals who have recovered after infection.

The acute infection dynamics are captured by this epidemiological model. That confers immunity permanently after recovery. Some diseases for which the SIR model might be implemented are measles, smallpox, chickenpox, mumps, typhoid fever, and diphtheria. As an assumption, the total size of the population is con-
stant, i.e., N = S + I + R. Then the demographic factors inclusion or exclusion studies and distinguishes two cases.

The SIR model basically includes ordinary differential equations (ODEs) and infers to a deterministic model which doesn’t involve the randomness with consecutive time. Similar to the reaction kinetics principles, the assumption is that confrontations between susceptible and infected individuals happen at an adequate rate to their population respective numbers [24]. The new infection rate can thus be described as BSI, where B is the infectivity parameter. Infected individuals are considered to recover anytime with a steady probability, which interprets into a rate of constant per capita recovery that is denoted here with r, and (\( \gamma \)) is the recovery overall rate. Based on the mentioned assumptions, the differential equation form of SIR models is as follows:

\[
\frac{dS}{dt} = -B*S*I, \quad \frac{dI}{dt} = B*S*I - \gamma*I, \quad \frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma*I. \tag{14}
\]

Another assumption is that population size (S+I+R) is considered as constant and equal to the initial size of it, which is denoted with N parameter [25].

Typically, three threshold values are utilized in epidemiology. The first value is called the basic reproduction number or basic reproduction ratio or basic reproductive rate, which is denoted by \( R_0 \) and considered as the most important value. It is defined as the secondary infections average number that happens when one infective is defined throughout a wholly susceptible population and represents a borderline between persistence and a disease death [26].

\( \sigma \) is called the contact number, and defined as adequate contacts average number of a common infective within a period of infectiousness. If the individual who contacts with the susceptible is infective, the definition of an adequate contact will be formed which is the one who is sufficient for transmission. As an assumption, for the whole infectious period the infected individual is inside it and mingle as same as a native with the host population.

\( R \) is called the replacement number and defined as the secondary infections average number turned out by a common infective within the whole infectiousness period. It performs as a function of time (t) by disease evolution after the initial invasion.

These three threshold values are all equal at the inception of an infectious disease breakout [27].

\( R \) is the secondary cases actual number from a common infective so that it is always less than the \( R_0 \) after everyone is infected. Furthermore, after spreading out the infection, the susceptible fraction becomes less than one, so that all adequate contacts result partially in a new case and therefore \( R \) becomes less than \( \sigma \) [28].

The result is as follows:

\[
R_0 \geq \sigma \geq R. \tag{15}
\]

An epidemic will occur if an individual infected found in the population and if \( dI/dt > 0 \). Replacing S with N in Equation (15) results as \( BN/\gamma > 1 \). The equation will be as follows [16]:

\[
R_0 = \frac{BN}{\gamma}. \tag{16}
\]

2. Results

2.1. Experimental Study

of Linear Regression Model

The Ministry Public Health Center of Ukraine provided data, reports the cumulative recorded death numbers and medical tests since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic in Ukraine respectively. To illustrate the COVID-19 daily confirmed cases increasing number, performed tests, recovered cases and deaths, a Regression model was built and the outputs were saved into two new fields. Next, a list of all the dates was created and converted from string to date-time format. The daily confirmed cases increasing number recovered cases, death cases, and performed tests are shown below respectively. The Linear Regression model was built in a Python environment and all implementations were done inside it. The obtained results are shown in figures 1-3.

Figures 1-3 show an ascending trend by the time which is somehow expected. By the time has passed the amount of confirmed, recovered, death, and performed tests have increased. The trends don’t suffer from considerable bias. Thus, there is no need to normalize them.

A range of 30 days from 30/01/2021 until 01/03/2021 was considered for our investigation and executing linear regression to forecast the first 15 days of March (from 02/03 until 15/03), obtained determination coefficient for ‘Confirmed’, ‘Recovered’ and ‘Death cases’ are (0.98889), (0.98877), (0.98718) respectively. The plot for each variable is shown in figures 4-6. Figures 4-6 show an ascending trend which was expected.

The upward trend in morbidity and mortality indicates the natural course of the COVID-19 epidemic process in Ukraine. From the upward trend, it can be concluded that the anti-epidemic measures taken within the simulated time frame are ineffective. On the other hand, the upward trend of the recovered, which has fewer dynamics than the predicted values of the sick, indicates a further increase in the total number of patients in the simulated territory.
Fig. 1. Confirmed cases trend based on COVID-19 actual dataset until 01/03/2021

Fig. 2. Recovered cases trend based on COVID-19 actual dataset until 01/03/2021

Fig. 3. Death cases trend based on COVID-19 actual dataset until 01/03/2021
Fig. 4. “Confirmed cases” trend in 30 days; dots are actual data.

Fig. 5. “Recovered cases” trend in 30 days; dots are actual data.

Fig. 6. “Death cases” trend in 30 days; dots are actual data.
Now let’s have a look at the obtained forecasted numbers for each variable based on linear regression model in a table 1.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Confirmed</th>
<th>Recovered</th>
<th>Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-Mar</td>
<td>1404965</td>
<td>1220933</td>
<td>27618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Mar</td>
<td>1411007</td>
<td>1224742</td>
<td>27742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Mar</td>
<td>1417049</td>
<td>1228551</td>
<td>27865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Mar</td>
<td>1423091</td>
<td>1232360</td>
<td>27989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Mar</td>
<td>1429134</td>
<td>1236170</td>
<td>28112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Mar</td>
<td>1435176</td>
<td>1239979</td>
<td>28235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-Mar</td>
<td>1441218</td>
<td>1243788</td>
<td>28359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-Mar</td>
<td>1447260</td>
<td>1247597</td>
<td>28482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Mar</td>
<td>1453303</td>
<td>1251406</td>
<td>28606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-Mar</td>
<td>1459345</td>
<td>1255215</td>
<td>28729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Mar</td>
<td>1465387</td>
<td>1259024</td>
<td>28852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-Mar</td>
<td>1471429</td>
<td>1262833</td>
<td>28976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-Mar</td>
<td>1477472</td>
<td>1266642</td>
<td>29099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-Mar</td>
<td>1483514</td>
<td>1270451</td>
<td>29223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-Mar</td>
<td>1489556</td>
<td>1274260</td>
<td>29346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Experimental Study of SIR Model

The COVID-19 epidemic process dynamics dependence and interepidemic countermeasures were investigated by our SIR developed model and the influence of each factor on the dynamics was determined. As a matter of using a more developed model and thus having the capability of including more complexity and factors, the SIR-F was used for this research instead of the classic SIR model. ‘F’ represents the ‘Fatal with confirmation’ in the SIR-F model.

Figure 7 illustrates the COVID-19 epidemic process in different phases in Ukraine based on the implementation of the SIR-F model on COVID-19 dynamics changes started from the date each stage.

The final step is to illustrate the forecasting results of COVID-19 by SIR models. Figure 8 shows the trend of COVID-19 ‘Infected’, ‘Fatal’ and ‘Recovered’ cases. It is characterized by increasing “Infected” and “Recovered” by December 2020 and by increasing “Recovered” with decreasing “Infected” after December 2020.

Based on these separated phases we can compare the results of SIR-F model parameters estimation. The results are shown in figure 9.

Table 2 shows the forecasted numbers in each case.
2.3. Models Performance Comparison

In this part we will compare the obtained results by each model with the actual data in a range of fifteen days (from 02/03/2021 to 16/03/2021). Table 3 shows the percent error and mean error caused by comparing linear regression model results and actual data.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Confirmed</th>
<th>Recovered</th>
<th>Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-Mar</td>
<td>0.0305346</td>
<td>-0.074124</td>
<td>0.115866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Mar</td>
<td>0.1233871</td>
<td>0.057237</td>
<td>0.367674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Mar</td>
<td>0.4148057</td>
<td>0.162468</td>
<td>0.64956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Mar</td>
<td>0.7103551</td>
<td>0.201159</td>
<td>0.850334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Mar</td>
<td>0.9322429</td>
<td>0.174005</td>
<td>0.996016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Mar</td>
<td>1.0148581</td>
<td>0.045485</td>
<td>0.945635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-Mar</td>
<td>0.9854859</td>
<td>-0.11875</td>
<td>0.906238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-Mar</td>
<td>0.7963324</td>
<td>-0.341617</td>
<td>0.758374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Mar</td>
<td>0.8230906</td>
<td>-0.167572</td>
<td>1.115151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-Mar</td>
<td>1.0351904</td>
<td>-0.02406</td>
<td>1.622054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Mar</td>
<td>1.5088164</td>
<td>0.06092</td>
<td>2.017191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-Mar</td>
<td>2.001048</td>
<td>0.165738</td>
<td>2.443401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-Mar</td>
<td>2.2481082</td>
<td>-0.065489</td>
<td>2.552784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-Mar</td>
<td>2.4865799</td>
<td>0.262348</td>
<td>3.043004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean error</td>
<td>1.1540855</td>
<td>0.037101</td>
<td>1.394172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For ‘Confirmed’, ‘Recovered’ and ‘Death’ cases mean errors have almost 1.15, 0.037 and 1.39 percent deviant respectively. This means the linear regression model forecasted impressively precise. Table 4 shows the percent error and mean error caused by comparing SIR model results and actual data.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Confirmed</th>
<th>Recovered</th>
<th>Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-Mar</td>
<td>1.1344755</td>
<td>-0.745853</td>
<td>-0.70387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Mar</td>
<td>1.3670853</td>
<td>-0.690619</td>
<td>-0.44336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Mar</td>
<td>1.8023445</td>
<td>0.028403</td>
<td>0.873094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Mar</td>
<td>2.243697</td>
<td>0.282403</td>
<td>1.394172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Mar</td>
<td>2.6120069</td>
<td>-0.782954</td>
<td>0.22387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Mar</td>
<td>2.8402457</td>
<td>-0.973942</td>
<td>0.193342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-Mar</td>
<td>2.9554887</td>
<td>-1.197344</td>
<td>0.17854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-Mar</td>
<td>2.9083393</td>
<td>-1.478579</td>
<td>0.52296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Mar</td>
<td>3.0827784</td>
<td>-1.359232</td>
<td>0.437515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-Mar</td>
<td>3.4478114</td>
<td>-1.270357</td>
<td>0.968356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Mar</td>
<td>4.0825637</td>
<td>-1.236861</td>
<td>1.391664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-Mar</td>
<td>4.7389853</td>
<td>-1.181285</td>
<td>1.852869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-Mar</td>
<td>5.096437</td>
<td>-1.306216</td>
<td>1.97211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-Mar</td>
<td>5.2993837</td>
<td>-1.368584</td>
<td>2.036022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-Mar</td>
<td>5.7001847</td>
<td>-1.215168</td>
<td>2.56419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean error</td>
<td>3.2874652</td>
<td>-1.076651</td>
<td>0.708852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9. Experimental study of SIR model parameters based on separation to phases.
Conclusions

The paper describes experimental research on two approaches to epidemic process simulation, which are based on the linear regression method and compartment modeling approach. Models are verified and investigated on COVID-19 morbidity and mortality data in Ukraine provided by the Center for Public Health of Ministry of Health of Ukraine.

The novelty of the research is the development of epidemic process models based on state-of-art methods and approaches applied to novel emergence disease COVID-19. The main difference of the proposed study is that the epidemic process of COVID-19 has not been investigated, appeared suddenly, and spread rapidly across the planet. This dictates the development of new methods for modeling epidemic processes that investigate diseases for which there is no sufficient amount of data.

The disadvantages of the SIR model may be because the coronavirus mutates and new strains appear, immunity after a previous illness is not always long-lasting, as evidenced by cases of repeated COVID-19 disease, one dose of the vaccine does not lead to the development of long-term and intense immunity, a very small proportion the population of Ukraine has post-vaccination immunity.

At this stage in the development of the epidemic process of COVID-19, it is more expedient to use a linear model to predict the incidence rate, which has shown higher accuracy and efficiency.

Generally, the reason for that lies in the fact that the utilized linear regression model for this research was implemented on merely 30 days (from fifteen days before the 2nd of March) and not the whole dataset of COVID-19.

Acknowledgment. The study was funded by the National Research Foundation of Ukraine in the framework of the research project 2020.02/0404 on the topic “Development of intelligent technologies for assessing the epidemic situation to support decision-making within the population biosafety management” [29].

Future research directions

It is expected that if we try to forecast in longer time ranges, the linear regression model will lose precision. Alternatively, since the SIR model is more comprised in including more factors, the model is expected to perform better in forecasting longer time ranges. These assumptions can be investigated in detail in further researches.

Also, further researches are aimed to develop an ensemble methodology of epidemic process investigation which will combine both compartment and machine learning methods. It is necessary to increase the accuracy with machine learning methods and make it possible to investigate factors influencing the epidemic process with compartment models.
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ПОРІВНЯЛЬНЕ ДОСЛІДЖЕНня МОДЕЛІ ЛІНІЙНОЇ РЕГРЕСІЇ і SIR ПОШИРЕННЯ COVID-19 В УКРАЇНИ ДО ВАКЦИНАЦІЇ

А. Мохаммаді, Е. С. Меняйлов, К. О. Базілевич, С. В. Яковлев, Д. І. Чумаченко

Глобальна пандемія COVID-19 почалась в грудні 2019 року і стрімко поширювалась по всьому світу. Усього світ захворів більш ніж 230 мільйонів людей, 4,75 мільйонів випадків закінчилося летальним результатом. Крім загрози здоров’ю наслідком пандемії стали соціальні проблеми, економічна криза і перехід звичайного життя в "нову реальність". Математичне моделювання є ефективним інструментом для контролю епідемічного процесу COVID-19 на заданих територіях. Моделювання дозволяє прогнозувати майбутнє динаміку епідемічного процесу і виявляти фактори, які впливають на підвищення захворюваності найбільшим чином. Результати моделювання дозволяють фахівцям громадської охорони з водою використовувати інновації для боротьби з епідеміями.

Предметом дослідження є моделі моделювання епідемічних процесів, в тому числі методи машинного навчання та компартментних моделей. Для досягнення мети досягнута мінімум використовували методи прогнозування машинного навчання і побудували модель лінійної регресії епідемічного процесу COVID-19, в яку модель SIR епідемічного процесу COVID-19. В рамках експериментів з розроблення моделей була отримана прогноза динаміка епідемічного процесу COVID-19 на 30 днів для підтверджених випадків, тих, що виходять і нетерпної. Для випадків "Підтверджений", "Той, що виходить" і "Легальний" середні помилки мають відхилення 1,15, 0,037 і 1,39 відсоток відповідно в результаті моделі лінійної регресії. Для випадків "Підтверджений", "Той, що виходить" і "Легальний" середні помилки мають відхилення 3,29, 1,08 і 0,71 відсотка відповідно для моделі SIR. Висновки. На даний етап розвитку епідемічного процесу COVID-19 для прогнозування захворюваності доцільне використовувати модель лінійної регресії, яка показала більш високу точність і ефективність. Як правило, причина цього полягає в тому, що використана модель лінійної регресії для цього дослідження була реалізована лише за 30 днів (за 15 днів до 2 березня), а не з використанням всього набору даних COVID-19. Крім того, вітчизняний склад індивідуальних факторів, які впливають на прогнозування в більш тривалих часів діапазонах, модель лінійної регресії втратить точність. Як альтернатива, оскільки модель SIR включає більш кількість факторів, оцінюється, що модель буде краще працювати при прогнозуванні на більш тривалі часів діапазони.

Ключові слова: модель епідемії; епідемічний процес; моделювання епідемії; імітаційне моделювання; лінійна регресія; модель SIR; COVID-19.
эффективным инструментом для контроля эпидемического процесса COVID-19 на заданных территориях. Моделирование позволяет спрогнозировать будущую динамику эпидемического процесса и выявить факторы, которые влияют на повышение заболеваемости наибольшим образом. Результаты моделирования позволяют специалистам общественного здравоохранения принимать эффективные научно обоснованные меры по сдерживанию эпидемии. Целью статьи является разработка моделей машинного обучения и компартментных моделей эпидемического процесса COVID-19, а также исследование экспериментальных результатов моделирования. Объект исследования – эпидемический процесс COVID-19 и его динамика на территории Украины. Предметом исследования являются модели и методы моделирования эпидемических процессов, в том числе методы машинного обучения и компартментные модели. Для достижения цели исследования мы использовали методы прогнозирования машинного обучения и построили модель линейной регрессии эпидемического процесса COVID-19 и модель SIR эпидемического процесса COVID-19. В результате экспериментов с разработанными моделями была получена прогнозная динамика эпидемического процесса COVID-19 на 30 дней для подтвержденных случаев, выздоровевших и летальных. Для случаев «Подтвержденный», «Выездоровевших» и «Летальный» средние ошибки имеют отклонение 1,15, 0,037 и 1,39 процента соответственно в результате модели линейной регрессии. Для случаев «Подтвержденный», «Выездоровевший» и «Летальный» средние ошибки имеют отклонение 3,29, 1,08 и 0,71 процента соответственно для модели SIR. Выводы. На данном этапе развития эпидемического процесса COVID-19 для прогнозирования заболеваемости целесообразнее использовать модель линейной регрессии, показавшую более высокую точность и эффективность. Как правило, причина этого заключается в том, что использованная модель линейной регрессии для этого исследования была реализована всего за 30 дней (за 15 дней до 2 марта), а не с использованием всего набора данных COVID-19. Кроме того, ожидается, что если мы попытаемся прогнозировать в более длительных временных диапазонах, модель линейной регрессии потеряет точность. В качестве альтернативы, поскольку модель SIR включает большее количество факторов, ожидается, что модель будет лучше работать при прогнозировании на более длительные временные диапазоны.

Ключевые слова: модель эпидемии; эпидемический процесс; моделирование эпидемии; имитационное моделирование; линейная регрессия; модель SIR; COVID-19.
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